Case 2:13-cv-06507-PSG-JC Document 49 Filed 09/25/14 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:1511 E-FILED 9/25/14 IS-6 MARC S. HINES (SBN 140065) mhines@hinescarder.com NICOLE M. HAMPTON (SBN 189024) nhampton@hinescarder.com HINES CARDER, LLP 3090 Bristol Street, Suite 300 Costa Mesa, California 92626 Tel.: (714) 513-1122 Fax: (714) 242-9529 5 Attorneys for Defendants, 6 NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, erroneously sued herein as Nationwide Insurance, ALLIED 7 PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, erroneously sued herein as Allied Insurance and AMCO 8 INSURANCE COMPANY 9 10 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 KEVIN NOWNEJAD 14 CASE NO.: 2:13-cv-06507-PSG-JC Plaintiff, 15 JUDGMENT BY COURT ORDER VS. 16 PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 56 17 FARMERS INSURANCE, FARMERS INSURANCE EXCHANGE, FIRE 18 Hearing: [taken under submission] INSURANCE EXCHANGE, Courtroom 880 – Los Angeles - Roybal 19 TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY, TRAVELERS CASUALTY INSURANCE 20 Trial Date: November 4, 2014 COMPANY OF AMERICA NATIONWIDE INSURANCE 21 ALLIED INSURANCE, AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY and DOES 1 22 through 100, 23 Defendants. 24 This Court, on September 18, 2014, having granted the Motion for Summary 25 Judgment of Defendants AMCO Insurance Company, Allied Property and Casualty 26 Insurance Company (erroneously sued as "Allied Insurance") and Nationwide 27 Mutual Insurance Company (erroneously sued as "Nationwide Insurance") 28

JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANTS'MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

("Defendants") as to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, and having ordered entry of judgment as requested in said motion,

Now, therefore,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- (1) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendants AMCO Insurance Company, Allied Property and Casualty Insurance Company (erroneously sued as "Allied Insurance") and Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company (erroneously sued as "Nationwide Insurance") ("Nationwide") and against Plaintiff as to the First Amended Complaint on file herein in its entirety;
 - (2) This action shall be and hereby is dismissed on the merits; and,
- (2) Defendants are the prevailing parties pursuant to <u>Federal Rules of Civil Procedure</u> Rule 54(d)(1) and are to recover their costs in this action against Plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 24, 2014

PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ

Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez Of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT